Meeting began at 9:08 AM

I. Announcements and introductions
   Present: Mary McMillan
   Claire LaPolt
   Eva Rios-Alvarado
   Tim Ream
   Charissa Jefferson
   Annie Knight
   Marcia Henry
   Esther Grassian
   Lynn Chung
   Susie Chin
   Liz Chaney
   Jennifer Silverman
   Michael Habata

II. Approve minutes from May 18, 2018 meeting
    Minutes have been approved.

III. Welcome newly elected and returning 2018-2019 LILi Board Members
    • Mary welcomes Vice Chair Clair LaPolt, Past Chair Annie Knight, Tim Ream,
      Esther Grassian, Carolyn Coward, Michal Habata, Charissa Jefferson and newest
      member Eva Rios-Alvarado.
    • A question about representation of a student member is asked. Esther states
      that the Bylaws would need revision. Mary suggests a future meeting to include
      looking at changes to the Bylaws.
IV. LILi Website update

- Tim shows the new LILi website. The Google redirect is not working. Tim will speak with Adina to see if she can address the issue. Ding has gotten volunteers for a website team. A suggestion of adding a blog was brought forward. Esther suggests that a future meeting to discuss more ideas for the website. Tim recognizes the work of Adina for the look of the website and Ding for creating the new web team.
- Tim will give Esther access to the login information so she can add new members after ensuring new member request are not spam.

V. 2018 Conference report and 2019 Conference Planning

- The group goes over the conference survey results. There were 46 responses. Mary says they are positive results. We didn’t get any negative results. Most participants gave 4 out of 5. Participants liked the location and it was nice to host in a public library. Some participants felt there could have been fewer presentations at longer length of each presentation. Participants liked the variety of topics and the handouts. Most of the presentations were highly rated.
- Esther comments that the phrase “Information Literacy” is not as familiar to librarians outside of academic librarianship. She suggests providing a brief definition at the start of the next conference. Specifically, there was confusion because one presenter talked about reading literacy.
- Eva suggested a preconference to discuss the definition. Mary suggested that registrants can share out their definitions at the beginning of the conference. Esther suggested having large post-it notes around the room to write on. Clair suggested questions at the tables.
- A question was brought up about the presentation on Reddit as it was more of a demonstration and less about its relationship to information literacy. Applicability of this topic was the greatest challenge noted.
- Annie suggests a student panel. Esther suggests MLIS Students. Annie would like to see a variety of perspectives on other areas of information literacy. Mary wants to ensure that we hear something new and useful. Michael recommended to include high school students. Marcia and Susie suggest a student seat on a panel as a representative. Annie has volunteered to coordinate this effort for the next conference.
- Eva commented that the timing of the conference fell during her college’s convocation ceremony. Annie agreed it was also an issue at other community colleges. She explained that we originally set one date but
voted to move it for the People of Color in Library Science at LMU, which initially shared the same conference date. By moving our conference, it pushed it farther into summertime, which as Mary said, was more convenient for the public librarians because it did not conflict with their summer reading programs, but it did give issue for some academic librarians. Michael volunteered to contact some of the conference planners so we can find out ahead of time when the POC conference will be held in advance of setting our date. Mary will send out a doodle poll to the board to ask about preliminary time periods such as early June, mid-July, etc.

- Claire asked about geographic locations. Mary responded that we try to mix it up recalling that it has been at different types of institutions. Esther recalled that the first conference was at a private school, the second was at LMU, third was at Pierce College, fourth was at Glendale Community College, and recently the fifth was at Glendale Public. Marcia volunteered to see about hosting the next conference at CSUN since we have not had the conference at a CSU before. Mary will also see if El Camino is a possibility. Eva also stated that she will look into hosting at Mt. San Antonio College. It was confirmed that Guillermo offered to host at Glendale Public again, if we did want to take him up on his generous offer.

- The group began to propose potential themes for next conference. The themes that were discussed are:
  - Tech and Information Literacy
  - Librarian Recommendations and Initiatives and Information Literacy
  - Collaboration
  - Information Literacy in Underserved Communities
  - Community Outreach
  - Librarians rebranding
  - Truth/ Media Literacy/ Relevance

- Claire shared a name of a colleague, Nora Murphy, who has done presentations on “source literacy”.

- It has been recommended that a theme is chosen by the end of the calendar year, the location is chosen by the fall and the theme and date will follow. Mary will send the list of themes to the listserv for voting and optional additional themes.
• Volunteers for conference planning committee are Claire, Eva, Liz, Susie, Michael and Esther.

VI. Schedule upcoming business meetings

• Mary asked if people value in-person meetings. Esther stated that although in-person is still valuable, ACRL’s mid-winter meetings will be offered virtually because it’s difficult for people to get places, similarly even in the Los Angeles region. Eva stated that this is her first LILI meeting as a board member so she wanted to come in-person since that was an option. Clair commented that while it is nice to have a virtual option, she is able to pay attention more while at an in-person meeting. Mary agreed that virtual meetings can still be distracting because participants may be tempted to check emails.

• Mary stated that her plan is to offer one in-person meeting a semester then a second virtual. There will be 4 meetings per year. The conference planning committee will meet separately from the board meetings.

• Mary will send out a doodle poll for the November meeting.

VII. Instruction presentation

• Michael gave an instructional presentation on Kahoot! (kahoot.it; free interactive gaming program for educational use) about the game he created for Fall orientation called “Using Library Resources and Pierce College”. He found the students to be more engaged than when he talked about this information previously. Students are able to guess the right answer of the game from their mobile device, laptop, or tablet. The results give a ranking of the top 5 responses that were answered correctly the fastest.

• After playing the game, the group was shown how you can poll participants of their experience. Assessment reports are also available to see how students actually responded. Mary pointed out that she has used Kahoot! For quick answers at a second/ follow-up workshop or at a first workshop as a pretest.

• Kahoot! offers a discover search to locate publically made available games in the commons. Mary did a search for Information Literacy. There were many results. It was stated that while you can play and use games that others created, you cannot edit them. But they can be used for inspiration in creating your own.

The meeting ended at 11:10 AM